"ax + b" is dead, long live "a(x - b)"

Douglas Butler on transformations of polynomial and trigonometric functions

1. The pitfalls of starting with y = ax + b (or mx + c)

he straight-line

Students learning about graphs start, naturally, with the straight-line.

The traditional approach is to use the forms:

y = mx + c, or y = ax + b

and to discover, by exploration, that 'm' represents the gradient and 'c' the y-intercept. This is fine as far as it goes, but the skills learnt do not transfer to the transformation of polynomial or trigonometric functions, or even the circle.

One approach which prepares better for future transformations is to study the straight lines, initially in the forms:

y - c = mx

for which 'c' is the transformation in the 'y' direction, this the 'y' intercept

Then try:

y = m(x - c)

for which 'c' is now the transformation in the 'x' direction, this is the 'x' intercept. The earlier you can instil the idea that (x - c) moves it to the RIGHT by 'c', the better.



Figure 1: A straight-line with gradient 'm,' and translation through 'c' to the right.

So, y = 2(x - 2) is a straight line with gradient 2, translated to the right by 2.

2. The Quadratic Function

If the straight line has been done properly, the transformations of:

$$y = x^2$$

can be explored though the progressive study of:

$$y = x^{2} + b$$

which should be written $y - b = x^2$, so that it represents a translation by [0, b]

Then

$y=(x-\mathsf{a})^{\mathtt{2}}$

which is a translation to the right by [0, a]. Moving on to:

$y = k x^2$

but, here you need to be careful with the interpretation: this is a stretch in the 'y' direction, factor 'k', and really should be written as:

$$y = k(x^2)$$
, or even
 $y/_k = x^2$

This form is of course very different from $y = (mx)^2$ which never goes negative, and is possibly best described as an *'inverse stretch'* in the *x*-direction. This is a concept that will surface again as *'frequency'* in trig graphs, i.e. the higher the value of 'm', the more *squashed up* is the graph.

Putting these together, the most general quadratic is:

$$y = k (m(x - a))^2 + b$$

which, relating to its 'parent' $y = x^2$, has the following interpretation for its parameters:

'k' is the vertical stretch (amplitude?), 'm' is the 'inverse stretch' in the *x*-direction (frequency?),

'a' is the translation by [a, 0] in the *x*-direction (phase shift?), and ...

'b' is the translation by [0, b] in the *y*-direction

Consequently the vertex of the parent graph has been translated by the vector [a, b] to (a, b).

There is of course some redundancy here as it could be written as:

$$y = (km^2) (x - a)^2 + b$$

but, it is nice to note that the interpretation of 'k' and 'm' are inter-related.



Figure 2: For example $y = 8(x-3)^2 + 1$ has vertex at (3, 1) and vertical stretch factor 8, or as $y = 2(2(x-3))^2 + 1$, which is a vertical stretch 2, and inverse stretch 2 in the *x*-direction. The un-factored general form of the quadratic

$y = ax^2 + bx + c$

is not at all friendly, yet it is frequently studied: there are simply no visual interpretations readily available for either 'a' or 'b', apart from the sign of 'a' leading to the 'happy' and 'sad' descriptors! However, in this form, 'c' does revisit the idea of a *y*-intercept.

On the other hand, the important 'completing the square' exercise does bring in the concepts above, for example:

 $y = 2x^2 + 4x + 3 \implies y = 2(x + 1)^2 + 1$

so, vertex at (-1, 1) with vertical stretch of 2.

3. Trigonometric plotting, and the *dreaded* sin(bx + c)

A few thoughts about the meaning of "frequency":

Frequency is usually denoted by 'f' measured in Hz, but it can be angular frequency, ' ω ' rad/sec. These definitions only apply when the *x*-axis is 't (sec)'. For example $y = \sin(\omega t)$ or $y = \sin(2\pi f t)$. However $y = \sin(3x)$ moves three times as fast as $y = \sin(x)$ and is often described as having a frequency 3.

All too often text books, and even examination questions, use the form;

$y = a \sin(bx + c) + d$

From the above analysis we know that 'a' controls the vertical scaling ('amplitude'), and 'd' is the vertical translation by [0, d]. But, what do 'b' and 'c' represent? If you rearrange as:

$y = a \sin(b(x + c/b)) + d$

it can be shown that 'b' represents an inverse stretch in the *x*-direction ('frequency'), but 'c' does not represent anything useful. The translation in the *x*-direction ('phase shift') is by the vector $[-c_{b}, 0]$. Not nice.

Having learnt transformations of straight-lines and quadratics properly, it should be obvious that the correct form for the general sine wave is:

$y = a \sin(b(x - c)) + d$

where 'a' is the vertical stretch ('amplitude'), 'b' is the 'inverse stretch' in the *x*-direction ('frequency'), 'c' is the translation by [c, 0] ('phase shift') in the positive *x*-direction, and 'd' is the vertical translation by [0, d]. Furthermore, the origin of the graph has been translated by the vector [c, d] to (c, d), and, for differing values of 'a' and 'b', the graph always passes through (c, d).

sin(bx + c) is dead, long live $sin(b(x - c)) \dots$



Figure 3: y = asin(b(x - c)) + d has amplitude a = 2.5, frequency b = 2, phase shift c = π and vertical shift d = -0.5

4. Using function notation

A powerful way of pulling all this together is to use function notation, for example:

 $f(x) = x^2$

then, plotting

$$y = k f(m(x - a)) + b$$

and varying all the parameters independently then, updating f(x) to:

$$f(x) = \sin(x)$$

and then noticing all the similarities!

5. The equation of a circle

Looking ahead, the general equation of a circle radius 'r' and centre (a, b), which is so easily derived using Pythagoras' theorem, can also be realised as a translation by [a, b] of the centre from the origin to (a, b):

$$(y-b)^2 + (x-a)^2 = r^2$$

Conclusions

One of the problems of this approach is the fact that a straight-line stretches to infinity in both directions. So, a translation of a straight-line can be thought of as either an *x*-axis translation or a *y*-axis translation. Which is why

y = mx + c can be thought of as:

y - c = mx that is a translation through [0, c], the usual 'y-axis intercept' interpretation, or

y = m(x + c/m) that is a translation through [-c/m, 0]

So, despite this slightly slippery start, get it right from the beginning and there will be useful benefits. There will be a much more rapid understanding of the transformations of quadratics

and trig functions, and hopefully the end, finally, of $y = a \sin(bx + c)$!

Still need convincing? Try plotting all three on the same axes:

y = m(x - c) $y = (m(x - c))^{2}$ y = sin(m(x - c))then vary 'm' and 'c'.



Douglas Butler - iCT Training Centre, Oundle

You can watch the videos of Douglas working through the three sections in this article, on any device (computer or tablet), by entering this URL: www.tsm-resources.com/atm-248



There are also links to the associated Autograph files.

Douglas can be contacted on debutler@argonet.co.uk